

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Hinckley SRFI](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Adequacy of Consultation Request- Hinckley National Rail Freight Interchange Project  
**Date:** 21 March 2023 14:50:49  
**Attachments:** [image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)

---

Dear Sir/Madam,

I can confirm that our previous response would remain valid.

Kind regards

Mark

**Mark Andrews MRTPI**  
Head of Planning, Design and Engagement Services  
Economy & Infrastructure Directorate  
Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council | Council House | Manor Square | Solihull | B91 3QB

Tel: [REDACTED]

**LABC Front door website: Click the link to find out more about Building Regulations and Planning Requirements**



Via email to Hinckley SRFI  
[HinckleySRFI@planninginspectorate.gov.uk](mailto:HinckleySRFI@planninginspectorate.gov.uk)

**Solihull Connect Customer Services**

Solihull Connect Contact Centre  
The Bluebell Centre  
SMBC Offices  
West Mall  
Chelmsley Wood  
Solihull  
B37 5TN

email: [connectcc@solihull.gov.uk](mailto:connectcc@solihull.gov.uk)  
[www.solihull.gov.uk](http://www.solihull.gov.uk)

Date: 1<sup>st</sup> March 2023

Dear Sir / Madam

**Re: Adequacy of Consultation Request- Hinckley National Rail Freight Interchange Project**

Thank you for your letter dated 3<sup>rd</sup> February 2023 regarding the above reference scheme. We note that the letter invites us to comment on whether or not SMBC considers that the Applicant has complied, in relation to the application, with the following duties:

- Duty to consult – Planning Act 2008 (as amended) (PA2008) – section 42
- Duty to consult the local community - PA2008 – section 47
- Duty to publicise - PA2008 – section 48

Given the time available we have undertaken an initial review of the extensive documents provided. We note that SMBC was approached as part of the pre-application engagement and did not have any comments to make at that time.

On that basis we have no comment to make in relation to matters of consultation and would see no reason why it could not proceed to examination. We would however welcome the opportunity to retain a watching brief and retain our right to comment further on the scheme should the technical nature of the examination identify matters of relevance to the Council.

Kind regards



**Mark Andrews**  
Head of Planning, Design and Engagement Services  
Economy and Infrastructure Directorate